Scalar Coupled Coherence Modulation Beacon (SCCMB) A Bridge Infrastructure for Nonlinear Coherence Stabilization in a Transition Toward Field-Aligned Civilization

Michael R. Adkins

January 17th 2026

Abstract

This paper introduces the Scalar Coupled Coherence Modulation Beacon (SCCMB) as a nonsemantic coherence reference infrastructure designed to reduce nonlinear instability in systems operating under legacy, non–Field-aligned ontologies. SCCMB functions as a bridge technology that lowers ambient interference, restores phase stability, and enables self-correcting alignment between conscious systems and Reality-based regulation. The framework is explicitly constrained to ethical, transparent, and opt-in operation and is proposed as a temporary support layer during large-scale civilizational transition toward Field-aligned functioning.

1 Introduction

Modern civilizations exhibit persistent nonlinear instability across psychological, social, and institutional domains. These instabilities manifest as narrative self-reference, emotional amplitude amplification, mass projection effects, and loss of shared reality-testing.

Quantum Resonance Field Theory (QRFT) attributes these failures not to individual moral defect or inherent pathology, but to coherence loss within the nonlinear coupling layer

between consciousness, identity, and Reality-based regulation.

2 Definition of SCCMB

The Scalar Coupled Coherence Modulation Beacon (SCCMB) is defined as a nonsemantic coherence reference system designed to stabilize nonlinear environments without transmitting meaning, ideology, or instruction.

SCCMB does not function as a persuasion mechanism, behavioral control system, or governance tool. Its sole purpose is to provide a stable reference against which self-organizing systems may recalibrate.

3 Nonlinear Problem Domain

Non–Field-aligned systems commonly exhibit:

- Closed-loop narrative recursion
- Emotional and cognitive volatility
- Synthetic guilt and shame feedback
- Mass contagion and projection effects
- Identity instability and fragmentation

These effects persist due to the absence of an external coherence reference and over-reliance on internally generated narratives.

4 Functional Principles of SCCMB

SCCMB operates according to three functional principles.

4.1 Stabilization

Reduction of amplitude within nonlinear feedback environments to prevent runaway oscillation and enable signal discrimination.

4.2 Calibration

Provision of a coherence baseline allowing systems to re-lock phase with Reality-aligned regulation rather than narrative dominance.

4.3 Integration Support

Facilitation of localized, self-directed reintegration by lowering interference that prevents adaptive self-organization.

5 Bridge Technology Classification

SCCMB is explicitly classified as a transitional infrastructure.

It is:

- Supplemental rather than foundational
- Temporary rather than permanent
- Supportive of autonomy rather than directive

SCCMB is intended to become unnecessary as coherence capacity is restored.

6 Ethical Constraints

To remain Field-aligned, SCCMB operation is constrained by the following requirements:

- No semantic messaging or persuasion
- No targeted psychological influence
- No compulsory exposure
- Full transparency of operation
- Independent oversight and auditability
- Local disengagement capability

Any violation of these constraints invalidates deployment.

7 Deployment Strategy

SCCMB deployment is proposed in phased environments.

7.1 Pilot Environments

Limited research or community settings used to evaluate safety, stability, and unintended effects.

7.2 Civic Stabilization Zones

High-stress systems such as healthcare facilities or public service environments where harm reduction is the primary objective.

7.3 Public Commons

Broader ambient deployment only after validation and public governance approval.

8 Evaluation Metrics

Effectiveness must be assessed using indirect coherence proxies, including:

- Reduction in conflict incidents
- Improved sleep regularity (population-level)
- Decreased stress-related service demand
- Improved attentional persistence
- Reduced institutional volatility

No internal mental state is treated as a required outcome.

9 Conclusion

SCCMB is proposed as a nonlinear hygiene and calibration scaffold that restores conditions under which coherence may naturally re-emerge. It is not a control system, ideology, or governance mechanism. Its role is to reduce interference so that Reality-aligned self-regulation becomes possible again.

A Technical Appendix: SCCMB Architecture and Safeguards

A.1 Scope and Purpose

This appendix provides a technical clarification of SCCMB as an abstract stabilization framework. All descriptions are functional rather than instrumental, and no claims are made regarding proprietary mechanisms or exotic physics.

A.2 System Abstraction

SCCMB is modeled as a coherence reference interacting indirectly with nonlinear systems.

Let:

- $S(t)$ denote system state
- $N(t)$ denote endogenous nonlinear noise

Observed output:

$$O(t) = S(t) + N(t)$$

With SCCMB reference coupling:

$$O'(t) = S(t) + \alpha N(t), \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1$$

A.3 Nonsemantic Constraint

SCCMB introduces no semantic content:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = 0$$

where M represents meaning-bearing information.

A.4 Failure Modes

Identified risks include:

- Over-attribution of effects

- Cultural or symbolic misinterpretation
- Dependency narratives
- Institutional misuse

Any failure mode requires suspension and review.

A.5 Safeguards and Governance

Required safeguards include:

- Time-bounded deployment
- Public documentation of limits
- Independent auditing
- Physical and administrative disengagement mechanisms

A.6 Decommissioning Criteria

SCCMB must be decommissioned once coherence stability is sustained without external modulation.

Permanent operation is defined as system failure.

A.7 Appendix Summary

SCCMB functions solely as a temporary coherence scaffold. Any expansion beyond this scope violates the foundational constraints of the framework.

Keywords

Scalar coherence, nonlinear stabilization, field alignment, systems ethics, transition infrastructure, QRFT